What is Grammar — By Physical and Biological Fact?

Thursday, August 20, 2020

Is it possible for a life form to produce a thing which is not a biological product? If the question confuses you, try rewording it; you can make is shorter like this: is a product of biology a biological product? Or you can make it longer like this: If a form of life produced a thing, was that thing a product of a life form? Keep practicing this until you understand it. We learn by repetition and by examining a thing from all angles. If that does not work, try holding your tongue in one of your favorite positions and try your best not to drool. If you are forced into trying to stand on your head, make sure you have a medic nearby. Find the right size and right position, taking the necessary precautions, and try to answer the question: Is the things you do the product of a living form of life?

The next question is, if you do not do something, are you dead? I will get back to this later providing the coroner has not beaten me to you.

What, by biological fact, can you name? The Bible tells you, but in metaphor, Plato tells you, but in dialog format, Aristotle told you, but maybe he used too many words, Euclid told you, but moving your hand may be almost as confusing as walking and chewing gum. The definition of a thing tells you, but today, dictionary writers have devolved to the point that they do not know what a definition is and so, in order to get a simple, exact, and rational definition, one has to spend time trying to find a dictionary, written farther back in history, which means that one may not have the resources to press the keys on their computer. Your own biology tells you, but maybe no one has ever taken the time to introduce us to the upper end of our body. I suppose that happens if you spend too much time in higher planes of existence, especially when no one has given you a parachute and told us what to repeat as higher planes of existence don’t leave much time for thought; a great deal of

concentration is needed for flapping our arms just to stay afloat, especially during brain storms, and corporate think tanks, one tries not to drown in one of those.

By physical fact, you can only name shapes, and the material differences within those shapes; other synonyms, Limits and the difference between limits, form and matter, relatives and correlatives, nouns and verbs, points and lines, container and the contained, a map and the territory, absolutes and relatives. Now, things, which are external to us, are part of our environment, and they are said to be perceptible; now you can practice, providing you are not interrupting the president, by saying, I see you, I hear you, I smell you, I touch you, I taste you, and even, I am fucking annoyed with you: however, our applying names to things, the perceptible, involves a recognition that things have two parts, and the naming process is symbolic, in other words, it is an intelligible process which you do not see, but if you do not recognize that you have to do it, especially if you want to lie to someone, then one can always write themselves a reminder note.

Perception provides memory with something to remember, while intelligence gives us something to do with that memory besides sitting in a cranial cavity in the dark. Few people like sitting in the dark with nothing to do; playing with one's self eventually becomes boring except for the terminally insane, evangelists, scientists, the Intelligentsia and Literati. So, we go to memory, and see what it has brought us to play with. Oh, look, we find something Plato suggested. Here is a visual metaphor, a paradigm, easy to store in memory, for our two abstractions as Plato suggested. But, if you find it hard to remember, you can always get it tattooed on your forehead so that every time you go to admire yourself, you are reminded that a pretty face and pretty stupid don't exactly mean the same thing. By a simple segment, providing we don't

get lost or dizzy or are not occupied by chewing gum or mentally masturbating, we can surely see and remember this paradigm.

Stop, go, and stop: Some early Greeks noted that this means every thing is composed of two, and only two, elements. Thus, Plato called these two elements several names, such as noun and verb, but also relative and correlative and he even called formal grammar lessons, *Dialectic*, grammar in accordance with binary recursion and he applied it to human behavior because that is the biologically factual job of a mind. As above, you are free to make this paradigm any size you want, after all, size don't matter as every couple tell each other when forced to.

Now it was once imagined, that presenting to the mind, the simplest thing possible, that a brilliant mind, those capable of one or more PhD's could easily grasp it, however, this belief, as Non-Euclidean Mathematicians, Physicists, scientist and educators, have proven to be a vain hope, we are left with nothing simpler to amuse them with. It seems that all of them have yet to get beyond the Simpsons. Happily, it has been unnecessary to find something simpler to grasp as we have the Einstein's and Hawkins' to amuse us, not to mention reruns of I Love Lucy.

For those of you who were smart enough to drop out of our educational systems, or did not commit suicide trying to make sense of the gibberish presented within them you might be forced to pursue rational thought.

So, if we have only two elements of a thing that we can name, it means that the entire Universe is an expression of binary recursion. Now you are forced into a financial difficulty trying to answer the question, can binary recursion produce other than a binary result? Now you can invest in paper and whatever you like to write with, writing the simplest form of this as 0 and 1, or a longer version, noun and verb, and keep writing

that, and checking it every once in a while to see if perhaps some fly shit has entered the equation to cause you doubt. Or maybe you spilled whatever you were drinking or eating on the paper making you start all over again. Perhaps, even invest in camera and recording equipment to keep an eye on it and you can program it to automatically alert you if movement is detected, however, the real genius invests in a computer and virtual paper. Ask your computer, which can process any information whatsoever and write answers to anything whatsoever, if binary recursion can produce a non-binary result. Makes sure you are not touching it as it may get pissed off and short circuit just to rid the world of another idiot. And, if you have ever seen this happen, you might make big bucks having discovered Artificial Intelligence with an attitude problem, maybe even make movies about it. Imagine that Dorothy Braunschweiger and the Apple Mac Exterminator!

By fact, binary recursion can only produce a binary result. Thus, we call this intelligible fact, if you have the intelligence to understand it, Language. This means that we say, Language is Universal and Intelligible. So, this means that one has to train very hard for the special Olympics and sharpen that old beanie up.

After we realize this transcendental conception having floated up into the ozone layer of spiritual enlightenment, we might next try the ultimate journey to god status and settle on the ultimate state of being; Grammar is Particular and Perceptible. After bathing in that state of blissful god-hood for days, months, or years, we may decide to share our divine enlightenment with our fellow man, we would show them how to take the intelligible binary and multiply it by the perceptible binary of grammar, and produce the Four Fold Path to Super Being, Common Grammar, Arithmetic, Algebra and Geometry, in short, the Matrix! Imagine that, first our body gets laid, and then our own head!

Ain't it Grand? What a mind job! The One, right inside our own cranial cap! Who would have thunk it? Certainly not anyone in our educational systems. After centuries, upon centuries of evolution, a mind masters the one, even its two parts! Wow. I am going on a retreat now; I see the coroner has removed the body.

The Bible puts a biological fact into metaphor, the Two Witnesses of God, or the two divisions of abstractions your own body can make from the environment called perception and mirrored in conception, the reason for the metaphor to begin with, testing your intelligence. It is not really a hard metaphor, if you are not simple. And as Plato noted, all you can do is name these two elements, nouns and verbs, every geometer even if they are brain dead knows this. Grammar is a method of memory management, of memory recursion. And if you want to see, other metaphors of the Bible, such as the solution to the name of the beast 666 and how it works out to be the function of a mind; *To regulate our behavior so as to turn the past into a future and to bring a future to pass*, which, in a more subtle metaphor is put, *The Testimony of Jesus is the Spirit of Prophecy*, along with the of the grammar system you see rolling up on the screen behind this video essay, called Basic Analog Grammar, proving that in simple geometry, a straightedge and compass, you can perform all mathematical operations, even all logical operations, using no processing time as the output is concurrent with the input and every answer is exact, you can, if you realize that grammar systems are designed for predictive behavior, the ability to judge what is good and what is evil before you commit yourself to a particular environmental response which may cause global extinction, if you have noticed in the news is happening, then you can find *The Art of Prophecy* by following links that are provided below. It is very simple, grow a damned brain or die.

How can anyone with a brain, ever imagine that constructing grammar systems is done by blind people too stupid to start with exactly what they can perceive. Who but a complete idiot imagines that one names what they pull out of their own empty imagination, violating the very first process of making a grammar system to begin with, naming, is a rational process: (If I do not add a question mark, it means the question is rhetorical.) And, after centuries of trying, and failing, continues to do it anyway. That has got to be envy for the Lemming Leap. Here is a physical metaphor: Perception determines conception, conception determines will. Here is that very same perceptible metaphor used to test intelligence: The Father, The Son, and the Holy Spirit are One.

As Binary Recursion cannot produce any thing other than a binary result, is grammar invented? Or discovered as a process of learning to say what you see? And where, does it become even remotely possible for there to be any thing other than just two parts of speech? Grab anything you like, and see, if you can find a third man in its construction which is not either a limit or a material difference: I don't care if you call it a Q-bit or a queer bitch, stupid people are always multiplying words trying to run from their own stupidity.

Patanjali, a Sanskrit Grammar scholar, once wrote an essay about paralleling the mind and body, he used the metaphor of a yoke for parallel walking, and ever since then, we have ox e morons spouting mystical rubbish. I believe that Patanjali was his nickname as, if I remember correctly, it is a construct meaning parallel walking. And the idea of paralleling body and mind, goes back even farther than him; Adam and Eve are a Conjugate Binary Pair which by complete induction and deduction, produces the human race. And as the end is the beginning, let us be born again; Adam and Eve are a Conjugate Binary Pair which by complete induction and deduction, produces every possible judgment.

Imagine that, more dumb asses and ox's produced. Now that is real magic for you, a damned miracle!